

200,000 Admissions Against Interest

CtC stands a mile above all other whistle-blowing in American history in sheer, overwhelming evidence.

ADMISSIONS AGAINST SELF-INTEREST are so axiomatically significant, and such obviously sound evidence, that they are even given special weight in trials. Such admissions are deemed self-authenticating exceptions to the "exclusion of hearsay" evidentiary rules. As the Advisory Committee on the Federal Rules of Evidence observed in proposing the exception as Rule 804(b)(3):

"[T]he assumption that persons do not make statements which are damaging to themselves unless satisfied for good reason that they are true" provides the requisite "circumstantial guarantee of reliability" to make the hearsay statements admissible. Notes of Advisory Committee on Proposed Rules, Rule 804(b)(3). (As quoted by the 7th Cir. Court of Appeals in *US v. Harty*, 930 F.2d 1257 (1991).)

Here's how such an admission is described in that "exceptions" rule:

FRE Rule 804(b)(3) Statement Against Interest. A statement that: (A) a reasonable person in the declarant's position would have made only if the person believed it to be true because, when made, it was so contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else...

Let's look at the history of government responses to the revelations in '*Cracking the Code- The Fascinating Truth About Taxation In America*' ([CtC](#)) in light of this legal doctrine (and the simple common sense on which it is based).

TAX AGENCIES, AND PARTICULARLY THE IRS, are government agencies charged with maximizing revenue. They are equipped with massive budgets, enormous staffs, decades-long histories of getting away with pretty-much whatever they wish in the legislatures and the courts, institutionally-skeptical attitudes and armories of inquisitorial tools and authority for challenging and refusing any filing or claim for refund against which they can gin up even the flimsiest pretext.

When such agencies issue checks in response to tax filings declaring that no "income" was received and demanding the return of all amounts withheld, or deficiency-allegation "closing notices", or lien releases, or levy reimbursements, or in any other way honor such filings, they are making admissions of the correctness of [CtC](#). Plainly, every one of these admissions is an "admission against interest", and thus rises to an evidentiary-grade expression of what the admitting government actually knows and believes to be true.

In the now-fourteen years since the first publication of [CtC](#)'s revelations about the income tax, federal and state tax agencies have made upwards of [200,000 such admissions](#). Billions of dollars are involved.

EVERY ONE OF THESE GOVERNMENT ADMISSIONS has been of a definitive and unmistakable evidentiary character. Look again at the illustrative words in FRE 804(b)(3): "...*contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else...*". It is impossible to imagine admissions more unambiguously contrary to a tax agency's pecuniary interest or having a greater tendency to invalidate its claims against someone else than its issuance of a check, or a "closing notice" to a notice of deficiency claim, or the honoring in any way whatever of tax filings declaring that no "income" was received and/or self-assessing at \$0.

Further, these admissions are indisputably open-eyed. They are made only after extensive vetting by the tax agencies involved, and in a context of institutional skepticism, as is shown [here](#).

Further still, these endless admissions have been made against a backdrop of equally-endless efforts by these same governments to discourage people from making the claims the agencies find themselves compelled to honor. (Some of the ugly but revealing details of these discouragement efforts can be seen [here](#) and [here](#).)

That is, corrupt elements high up the food chain in these agencies and their principals DON'T WANT THOSE CHECKS ISSUED, or the other types of admissions to be made. Those corrupt actors are trying to thwart those admissions in the only way they can-- by trying to keep the [CtC](#)-informed claims that compel the admissions from being made in the first place.

These discouragement efforts make clear that the primary interest of those higher up the food chain is *not* obedience to the law and these admissions *are* "against interest". By that same token, all these admissions make clear that every "official" expression against the revelations of [CtC](#), however and by whoever made, is merely a corrupt, self-interested lie.

IN LIGHT OF ALL THIS, I think I am on rock-solid safe ground in saying that never in American history has there been a greater mountain of evidence manifestly proving legal and practical correctness than there is on behalf of the revelations in [CtC](#). Indeed, I think nothing else has ever even come close.

And yet, all of this remains the most under-reported story of the 21st century.

Worse, as in the last 75, this winter ten thousand institutional and professional voices will again get away with deceiving millions of Americans about the true nature of the tax. These poor folks will be successfully cajoled or snookered into signing away ownership of their own property to Leviathan for its disposal, rather than re-establishing their own claims to that property as is both their right and their obviously prudent and [civically-responsible self-interest](#).

It's absolutely mind-boggling, isn't it?

Or maybe the word I'm looking for is "tragic", or "shameful"... or how about "criminal"?

