

The DOJ And Judge Nancy Edmunds Lie About “Erroneous Refunds”

In 2006, the DOJ launched a “lawsuit” seeking orders from a court compelling Peter and Doreen Hendrickson to produce false amended tax returns for 2002 and 2003 containing government-dictated content. The purpose was to fraudulently assess taxes against the couple, something that can't be done while their accurate, freely-made returns stand undisturbed (and thus, [hasn't been done](#)).

The premise for the “lawsuit” was the false allegation that the government had not known the Hendricksons had received money in 2002 and 2003 and had therefore made “erroneous” refund to the couple of amounts withheld during those years. Here is how federal district court judge Edmunds put this falsehood as a “finding” in her summary judgment ([written by the DOJ attorney who contrived the “lawsuit”](#)) granting the government's request [without ever so much as laying eyes on a human being](#) in connection with the “suit”: “Because Defendants reported that they had no income, the IRS, unaware that Defendant's report was false, treated the withheld taxes as tax overpayments...”

But this was and is total falsehood. [Here is documentation demonstrating the complete and deliberate mendacity of this allegation and "finding"](#).

The direct documentation of intense scrutiny of the Hendricksons' returns and refund claims isn't the only evidence of just how utterly false was the claim in the DOJ “lawsuit” and the related “finding” by Nancy Edmunds. The fact is, throughout the entire period in which these returns were studied and eventually honored, Pete Hendrickson had been the subject of intense IRS and DOJ attention, [as is documented here](#). Plainly, the proposition that his returns and his then-unprecedented claims for refund of every penny withheld, Social Security and Medicare taxes along with all else, were just big mistakes by an IRS which wasn't paying attention is patently false.