

## **EXHIBIT 9**

Trial testimony by Robert Metcalfe acknowledging that the complaint in United States v. Peter and Doreen Hendrickson, Civil Action No. 06-11753 avers that 'Cracking the Code- The Fascinating Truth About Taxation In America' argues that only federal, state and local government workers are subject to the income tax.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 13-20371

-vs-

DOREEN HENDRICKSON,

Detroit, Michigan

Defendant.

July 23, 2014

-----/

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL - VOLUME THREE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE VICTORIA A. ROBERTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, and a Jury.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

Melissa Siskind, Esq.

Jeffrey McLellan, Esq.

For the Defendant:

Doreen Hendrickson, Pro Per

Standby Counsel:

Andrew Wise, Esq.

Proceedings taken by mechanical stenography, transcript  
produced by computer-aided transcription

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>WITNESSES:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>ROBERT METCALFE (Government)</u>	
Cross-Examination by Mrs. Hendrickson	5
Redirect-Examination by Ms. Siskind	57
<u>DANIEL APPLGATE (Government)</u>	
Direct-Examination by Ms. Siskind	61
Cross-Examination by Mrs. Hendrickson	90
Redirect-Examination by Ms. Siskind	104
<u>RULE 29 MOTION</u>	
By Mrs. Hendrickson	108
Response By Ms. Siskind	109
Response by Mrs. Hendrickson	110

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Yesterday you said you'd read this book prior to preparing the lawsuit in which  
3 you claimed over your signature that the book argues that only Federal, State and  
4 local workers are subject to the income tax and that wages are not income.

5 A. Is that a question?

6 Q. Yes. Is that correct?

7 A. I think what we said was that Mr. Hendrickson's theories espouse that only  
8 Federal, State and local workers are subject to the income tax.

9 Q. Yes, and you read the whole book before you filed the lawsuit.

10 THE COURT: Asked and answered. He's already answered that  
11 question several times.

12 MRS. HENDRICKSON: That he actually read the whole book?

13 THE COURT: I think so.

14 Q. (By Ms. Hendrickson continuing) Okay. Mr. Metcalfe, the lawsuit you wrote  
15 claimed that the refunds you wanted back had been issued in error, didn't you?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Had the Government received W-2's from the company my husband worked  
18 for during those years?

19 A. You're talking about 2002 and 2003?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So okay. Let's go back to it's my 550, but your Number One.

23 THE COURT: Your 550 is not in evidence.

24 MRS. HENDRICKSON: Okay. I'm sorry. I had it listed in my notes as  
25 550. Okay.