

At The DOJ's Instigation, Federal Courts Have Made Deliberately False “Findings” About The Content Of CtC

Here is the text of an order issued by federal district court judge Nancy Edmunds in which she falsely asserts that *Cracking the Code- The Fascinating Truth About Taxation In America* makes the absurd claim that only federal, state and local government workers are subject to the tax (a falsehood [initially made by the DOJ](#) in the lawsuit in which it asked for this order):

ORDERED, that Defendants are prohibited from filing any tax return, amended return, form (including, but not limited to Form 4852 (“Substitute for Form W-2 Wage and Tax Statements, etc.”)) or other writing with the IRS that is based on the false and frivolous claims set forth in *Cracking the Code* that only federal, state or local government workers are liable for the payment of federal income tax or subject to the withholding of federal income, social security and Medicare taxes from their wages under the internal revenue laws (26 U.S.C.);

The falseness and inanity of this assertion is best (or, at least, most easily) illustrated by the fact that the “Defendants” to whom this order is directed do, in fact, report taxable income on most of their returns, despite not working for the government. See [the Treasury Department Certificates of Assessment and IRS Master File transcripts for Peter and Doreen Hendrickson for 2002 and 2003](#) and note the “adjusted gross income” figures reported for each year. (See [this](#), too.) These are the two years concerned in the lawsuit in which the order above was asked for ([and actually written](#)) by the government (along with another commanding the repudiation of the original returns filed for those years and their replacement with false returns containing content dictated by the government).

The returns reporting taxable income for these particular years were in Edmunds' hands when this false assertion about *Cracking the Code* (CtC) was made. Much more dramatic proof of the deliberate falseness of Edmunds' “finding” concerning the content of CtC lies in the fact that Edmunds had never read the book in the first place, [as she was forced to admit years later](#). Plainly, she could not under any circumstances or by any device have come to honest and valid conclusions about what is said in a book which she has never read.

Edmunds couldn't even pretend to have come to conclusions about the content of CtC by resting on the testimony of others. [She never held so much as a single hearing](#) before making the false “finding”.

If you're interested, you can see some statements contradicting Edmunds' and the DOJ's falsehoods about CtC (both the one discussed above and some others) by some folks who HAVE read the book [here](#) and [here](#). You can also see how the IRS and state tax agencies respond to people who have read the book [here](#), responses which demonstrate in the most unambiguous way possible that what the book really DOES say is anything but “false and frivolous”.