EVERY CtC-EDUCATED REFUND IS HEAVILY-VETTED BEFORE BEING ISSUED

EVERY ONE OF THE FILINGS producing any CtC-educated victory you've ever seen or heard about went through elaborate scrutiny by the tax agency.

After all, the IRS is not some uniquely naive entity that just sends out checks because someone asks for them! What a ridiculous thing to suggest!

Contrary to self-serving myths promoted by the IRS and its fellow-travelers to keep you from grasping the evidentiary significance of CtC-educated victories, all filings claiming refunds (not even just CtC-educated filings) are challenged by default. As the Taxpayer Advocate Service of the Department of the Treasury describes it in its 2013 Annual Report to Congress:

The return integrity process is complex and multifaceted. A tax return must travel a long path with many potential roadblocks before the IRS accepts it as filed. The main goal of IVO [Integrity Verification and Operation] is to stop fraudulent refunds before they are issued by identifying potentially false returns, usually through wages or withholding reported on the returns. The IRS does this primarily with the Electronic Fraud Detection System, which was built in the 1990s. EFDS runs all individual tax returns through various filters to identify characteristics that may indicate a high risk of fraud.

See the report here.

In particular, ALL CtC-educated filings have ALWAYS been scrutinized, both by the EFDS and the "Questionable Refund Program", not to mention every IRS employee who sees them, before the returns have been processed and the refunds have been issued. The vast majority of such filings show and claim a refund of withholdings-- ALL withholdings-- while showing no "income" at all, and many are even accompanied by detailed explanations of exactly what is being expressed with the return (see, for instance, this, this and this).

The simple, glaringly-evidentiary fact is that every check and credit issued in response to a CtC-educated claim-- all the hundreds of thousands of them being continuously-issued since 2003-- have always passed through the gauntlet and been knowingly and deliberately approved. (And if you still somehow harbor doubts about this, click here and read a few of these particularly elaborate and unmistakable examples of heavy agency attention to CtC-educated filings and claims, not one of which could EVER play out the way it does were the tax agencies not in agreement that CtC is completely correct.)